

Relative entropies for convex bodies ^{*}

Justin Jenkinson and Elisabeth M. Werner [†]

Abstract

We introduce a new class of (not necessarily convex) bodies and show, among other things, that these bodies provide yet another link between convex geometric analysis and information theory. Namely, they give geometric interpretations of the relative entropy of the cone measures of a convex body and its polar and related quantities.

Such interpretations were first given by Paouris and Werner for symmetric convex bodies in the context of the L_p -centroid bodies. There, the relative entropies appear after performing second order expansions of certain expressions. Now, no symmetry assumptions are needed. Moreover, using the new bodies, already first order expansions make the relative entropies appear. Thus, these bodies detect “faster” details of the boundary of a convex body than the L_p -centroid bodies.

1 Introduction.

It has been observed in recent years that there is a close connection between convex geometric analysis and information theory. An example is the parallel between geometric inequalities for convex bodies and inequalities for probability densities. For instance, the Brunn-Minkowski inequality and the entropy power inequality follow both in a very similar way from the sharp Young inequality (see. e.g., [3]).

Further connections between convexity and information theory were established by Lutwak, Yang, and Zhang [21, 24, 26]). They showed in [24]

^{*}Keywords: relative entropy, mean width, L_p -affine surface area. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 52A20, 53A15

[†]Partially supported by an NSF grant, a FRG-NSF grant and a BSF grant

that the Cramer-Rao inequality corresponds to an inclusion of the Legendre ellipsoid and the polar L_2 -projection body. The latter is a basic notion from the L_p -Brunn-Minkowski theory. This theory evolved rapidly over the last years and due to a number of highly influential works (see, e.g., [5], [7], [8], [10] - [29], [31], [33] - [42], [45]), it is now a central part of modern convex geometry. In fact, this affine geometry of bodies pertains to some questions that had been considered Euclidean in nature. For example, the famous Busemann-Petty Problem (finally laid to rest in [4, 6, 31, 43, 44]), was shown to be an affine problem with the introduction of intersection bodies by Lutwak in [19].

Two fundamental notions within the L_p -Brunn-Minkowski theory are L_p -affine surface areas, introduced by Lutwak in [20], and L_p -centroid bodies introduced by Lutwak and Zhang in [22]. See Section 3 for the definition of those quantities. Based on these quantities, Paouris and Werner [30] established yet another relation between affine convex geometry and information theory. They proved that the exponential of the relative entropy of the cone measures of a *symmetric* convex body and its polar equals a limit of normalized L_p -affine surface areas. Moreover, they introduce a new affine invariant quantity Ω_K (see also Section 3 for the definition).

Here we introduce a new class of (not necessarily convex) bodies which we call *mean width bodies*. We describe some of their properties. For instance, we show that they are always star convex and that they provide geometric interpretations of L_p -affine surface areas. Many such geometric interpretations have been given (see e.g. [28, 35, 36, 40, 41, 42]). The twist here is that these new geometric interpretations of affine invariants for *convex* bodies are expressed in terms of *not necessarily convex bodies* (see also [42]).

More importantly, these bodies provide yet another link between convex geometric analysis and information theory: The main result of the paper shows that these new bodies give geometric interpretations of both, the relative entropy of the cone measures of a *not necessarily symmetric* convex body and its polar and the quantity Ω_K . Such interpretations were first given by Paouris and Werner [30] only for *symmetric* convex bodies in the context of the L_p -centroid bodies. There the relative entropies appear after performing a *second order expansion* of certain expressions. The remarkable fact now is that, using the mean width bodies, already a *first order expansion* makes them appear. Thus, these new bodies detect “faster” details of the boundary of a convex body than the L_p -centroid bodies.

1.1 Notation

We work in \mathbb{R}^n , which is equipped with a Euclidean structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_2$ the corresponding Euclidean norm. $B_2^n(x, r)$ is the Euclidean ball centered at x with radius r . We write $B_2^n = B_2^n(0, 1)$ for the Euclidean unit ball centered at 0 and S^{n-1} for the unit sphere. Volume is denoted by $|\cdot|$. Throughout the paper, we will assume that the centroid of a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n is at the origin. $K^\circ = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in K\}$ is the polar body of K .

We write $K \in C_+^2$, if K has C^2 boundary ∂K with everywhere strictly positive Gaussian curvature κ_K . For a point $x \in \partial K$, the boundary of K , $N_K(x)$ is the outer unit normal in x to K . μ_K is the usual surface area measure on ∂K . ω is the usual surface area measure on S^{n-1} and σ its normalization: $\sigma(A) = \frac{\omega(A)}{\omega(S^{n-1})}$ for all Borel measurable sets $A \subset S^{n-1}$.

For u and x in \mathbb{R}^n , $H = H(x, \xi)$ is the hyperplane through x orthogonal to ξ . $H^+ = H^+(x, \xi) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, \xi \rangle \geq \langle x, \xi \rangle\}$ and $H^- = H^-(x, \xi) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, \xi \rangle \leq \langle x, \xi \rangle\}$ are the two closed half spaces generated by H .

Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n and let $u \in S^{n-1}$. Then $h_K(u)$ is the support function of direction $u \in S^{n-1}$, and $f_K(u)$ is the curvature function, i.e. the reciprocal of the Gaussian curvature $\kappa_K(x)$ at this point $x \in \partial K$ that has u as outer normal.

2 Mean width bodies.

The width $W(K)$ of a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n is defined as

$$W(K) = 2 \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K(u) d\sigma(u).$$

Let M and K be convex bodies such that 0 is the center of gravity of K and $K \subset M$. It is easy to see [9]) that

$$W(M) - W(K) = \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \setminus M^\circ} \|\xi\|^{-(n+1)} d\xi. \quad (1)$$

Let $f : K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive, integrable function. We generalize (1) to

$$W_f(M) - W_f(K) = \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \setminus M^\circ} f(\xi) d\xi \quad (2)$$

For the following easy lemma we will need another notation.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \neq 0$. Let $f : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive function. Recall that f is said to be homogeneous of degree α , if for all $r \geq 0$,

$$f(ru) = r^\alpha f(u).$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let K and M be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n such that 0 is the center of gravity of K and $K \subset M$. Let $f : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive, integrable function that is homogeneous of degree α .*

(i) *Let $\alpha \neq -n$. Then*

$$W_f(M) - W_f(K) = \frac{2}{(\alpha + n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) \left[\frac{1}{h_K^{\alpha+n}(u)} - \frac{1}{h_M^{\alpha+n}(u)} \right] d\sigma(u).$$

(ii) *Let $\alpha = -n$. Then*

$$W_f(M) - W_f(K) = 2 \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) \log \left[\frac{h_M(u)}{h_K(u)} \right] d\sigma(u).$$

Proof. We use α -homogeneity and get

$$\begin{aligned} W_f(M) - W_f(K) &= \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \setminus M^\circ} f(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{\frac{1}{h_M(u)}}^{\frac{1}{h_K(u)}} f(ru) r^{n-1} dr d\omega(u) \\ &= \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{\frac{1}{h_M(u)}}^{\frac{1}{h_K(u)}} f(u) r^{n+\alpha-1} dr d\omega(u) \end{aligned}$$

Integration then yields (i) and (ii).

Let (X, μ) be a measure space and let $dP = p d\mu$ and $dQ = q d\mu$ be probability measures on X that are absolutely continuous with respect to the measure μ . The *Kullback-Leibler divergence* or *relative entropy* from P to Q is defined as (see [2])

$$D_{KL}(P\|Q) = \int_X p \log \frac{p}{q} d\mu. \quad (3)$$

If we let $f(u) = \frac{1}{h_K^n(u)}$ (or $f(u) = \frac{1}{h_M^n(u)}$) in Lemma 2.1 (ii), then $f(ru) = \frac{r^{-n}}{h_K^n(u)} = r^{-n} f(u)$. Thus this f is homogeneous of degree $-n$.

Let now $(X, \mu) = (S^{n-1}, \omega)$ and for convex bodies K and M in \mathbb{R}^n put

$$p_K = \frac{1}{n|K^\circ|h_K^n}, \quad p_M = \frac{1}{n|M^\circ|h_M^n}. \quad (4)$$

Then $dP_K = p_K d\omega$ and $dP_M = p_M d\omega$ are probability measures on S^{n-1} and Lemma 2.1 (ii) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\frac{1}{h_K^n}}(M) - W_{\frac{1}{h_K^n}}(K) &= \frac{2}{n}|K^\circ| \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{|K^\circ|h_K^n} \log \left(\frac{h_M^n}{h_K^n} \right) d\sigma \\ &= \frac{2|K^\circ|}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} p_K \left(\log \frac{p_K}{p_M} + \log \left(\frac{|K^\circ|}{|M^\circ|} \right) \right) d\omega \\ &= \frac{2|K^\circ|}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \left(D_{KL}(P_K \| P_M) + \log \left(\frac{|K^\circ|}{|M^\circ|} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

Corollary 2.2. *Let K and M be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n such that $K \subset M$ and let p_K and p_M be the probability densities given in (4). Then*

$$\int_{K^\circ \setminus M^\circ} \frac{1}{h_K^n(\xi) |K^\circ|} d\xi = D_{KL}(P_K \| P_M) + \log \left(\frac{|K^\circ|}{|M^\circ|} \right)$$

We now want to apply the above considerations for a specific M . Namely, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $K_x = [x, K]$ be the convex hull of x and K . For $x \in K$, $K_x = K$. Therefore, we will consider only $x \notin K$. Let $t \geq 0$ and let

$$K[t] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : w(x) \leq t\} \quad (5)$$

where

$$w(x) = W(K_x) - W(K) = \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \setminus K_x^\circ} \|\xi\|^{-(n+1)} d\xi. \quad (6)$$

The bodies $K[t]$ have been used by several authors (e.g. by Böröczky and Schneider [1] and Glasauer and Gruber [9]) in connection with approximation of convex bodies by polytopes. We generalize them as follows.

Let $f : K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive, integrable function. As above, with K_x instead of M , we put

$$w_f(x) = W_f(K_x) - W_f(K) = \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \setminus K_x^\circ} f(\xi) d\xi \quad (7)$$

and generalize (5) to

$$K_f[t] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : w_f(x) \leq t\}. \quad (8)$$

Thus, for instance, for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_\beta(\xi) = \|\xi\|^{-\beta}$ we get

$$K_{f_\beta}[t] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \setminus K_x^\circ} \|\xi\|^{-\beta} dx \leq t \right\}, \quad (9)$$

which, in the particular case $\beta = n + 1$, gives the bodies (5) above.

As $K_x = [x, K]$, $K_x^\circ = K^\circ \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, x \rangle \leq 1\}$. Thus, putting $H^+\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2}, x\right) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, x \rangle \leq 1\}$, K_x° is obtained from K° by cutting off a cap $K^\circ \cap H^-\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2}, x\right)$ of K° :

$$K_x^\circ = K^\circ \cap H^+\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right).$$

and

$$K^\circ \setminus K_x^\circ = K^\circ \cap H^-\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right).$$

Therefore

$$K_f[t] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \cap H^-\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)} f(\xi) d\xi \leq t \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Remarks 1: Properties of $K_f[t]$

(i) It is clear that for all f and for all $t \geq 0$, $K \subset K_f[t]$ and that $K_{f_\beta}[0] = K$ for all β . However, it can happen that K is a proper subset of $K_f[0]$.

To see that, let $K = B_\infty^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i| \leq 1\}$. Then $K^\circ = B_1^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \leq 1\}$. Define $f : B_1^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow f((x_1, \dots, x_n))$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x_n \geq 0 \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(0, \dots, 0, \frac{3}{2}) \in K_f[0]$ but $(0, \dots, 0, \frac{3}{2}) \notin K$.

(ii) $K_f[t]$ need neither be bounded nor convex. Indeed, let $K = B_\infty^2$. Define $f : B_1^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow f((x_1, x_2))$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & x_2 \geq 0 \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $t \geq \frac{1}{\pi}$, $K_f[t] = \mathbb{R}^2$. If $\frac{3}{4\pi} \leq t < \frac{1}{\pi}$, $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 \geq 0\} \subset K_f[t]$. If $\frac{1}{2\pi} \leq t < \frac{3}{4\pi}$, $\{(0, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 \geq 0\} \subset K_f[t]$. Thus $K_f[t]$ is unbounded in those cases. If $t < \frac{1}{2\pi}$, then $K_f[t]$ is bounded.

Moreover, with the same K and f : $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 \geq 0\} \subset K_f[\frac{3}{4\pi}]$ and $(0, -\frac{1}{1-\sqrt{3}/2}) \in K_f[\frac{3}{4\pi}]$. Let $x_0 = (\frac{1}{1-\sqrt{3}/2}, \frac{-1}{1-\sqrt{3}/2})$. Then $w_f(x_0) = \sqrt{3}(1 - \sqrt{3}/16) > \frac{3}{4\pi}$. Therefore, $K_f[\frac{3}{4\pi}]$ is not convex.

(iii) Formulas (7) and (10) show that to define $K_f[t]$, we cut off a set of “weighted volume” t of K° . Thus $K_f[t]$ resembles the convex floating body of K° .

Recall that for $0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{|K|}{2}$, the *convex floating body* K_δ of K is the intersection of all halfspaces H^+ whose defining hyperplanes H cut off a set of volume at most δ from K [34]:

$$K_\delta = \bigcap_{|H^- \cap K| \leq \delta} H^+.$$

For $\beta = 0$, we get in formula (10),

$$\begin{aligned} K_{f_0}[t] &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \cap H^-(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|})} d\xi \leq t\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left| K^\circ \cap H^-\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right| \leq \frac{t\omega(S^{n-1})}{2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

However, $K_{f_0}[t]$ is not a convex floating body of K° .

Indeed, it is easy to see that for the Euclidean ball $B = rB_2^n$ in \mathbb{R}^n with radius r , $B_{f_0}[t]$, for small t , is a Euclidean ball with radius of order

$$r \left(1 + k_n r^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} t^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \right),$$

where $k_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n(n+1)|B_2^n|}{2|B_2^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}$. $(B^\circ)_\delta$, for small δ , is a ball with radius of order

$$\frac{1}{r} \left(1 - c_n r^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \delta^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \right),$$

where $c_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n+1}{|B_2^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}$ (see e.g. [34]) and B_δ , for small δ , is a ball with radius of order

$$r \left(1 - \frac{c_n}{r^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}} \delta^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \right),$$

(see also e.g. [34]).

Also, $K_{f_0}[t]$ is different from the *illumination body* K^δ which, for $\delta \geq 0$, is defined as follows [39]:

$$K^\delta = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |\text{co}[x, K] \setminus K| \leq \delta\}.$$

Again, this can be seen by considering the Euclidean ball rB_2^n . $(rB_2^n)^\delta$, for small δ , is a Euclidean ball with radius of order

$$r \left(1 + \frac{d_n}{r^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}} \delta^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \right),$$

where $d_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{|B_2^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}$ [39].

We have seen that $K_f[t]$ need not be convex. But it is always star-convex.

Lemma 2.3. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n such that 0 is the center of gravity of K . Let $f : K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive, integrable function.*

(i) $K_f[t]$ is star convex i.e. $[0, x] \subset K_f[t]$ for all $x \in K_f[t]$.

(ii) $K_f[t] = \bigcap_{s>0} K_f[t+s]$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in K_f[t]$ and let $y \in [0, x]$. Then $K_y = [y, K] \subset [x, K] = K_x$ and consequently $K^\circ \setminus K_y^\circ \subset K^\circ \setminus K_x^\circ$. As $f \geq 0$ on K° , we therefore get

$$\frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \setminus K_y^\circ} f(\xi) d\xi \leq \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \setminus K_x^\circ} f(\xi) d\xi \leq t$$

and thus $y \in K_f[t]$.

(ii) For all $s > 0$, $K_f[t] \subset K_f[t+s]$. Therefore, we only need to show that $\bigcap_{s>0} K_f[t+s] \subset K_f[t]$. Let thus $x \in \bigcap_{s>0} K_f[t+s]$. Then for all $s > 0$, $w_f(x) \leq t+s$. Letting $s \rightarrow 0$, we get $w_f(x) \leq t$.

Additional conditions on f ensure convexity of $K_f[t]$. This is shown in the next lemma whose proof is the same as the corresponding one in [1].

Lemma 2.4. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n such that 0 is the center of gravity of K . Let $f : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive, integrable function that is homogeneous of degree α . Then $K_f[t]$ is convex for all $\alpha \leq -(n+1)$.*

Proof. Let x and y be in $K_f[t]$ and let $0 < \lambda < 1$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$, the function $g(t) = t^\gamma$ is convex if $\gamma \geq 1$. Therefore, and as $K_{(1-\lambda)x+\lambda y} \subseteq (1-\lambda)K_x + \lambda K_y$, we get for $\alpha \leq -(n+1)$

$$\frac{h_{K_{(1-\lambda)x+\lambda y}}^{-(\alpha+n)}}{-(\alpha+n)} \leq \frac{((1-\lambda)h_{K_x} + \lambda h_{K_y})^{-(\alpha+n)}}{-(\alpha+n)} \leq \frac{(1-\lambda)h_{K_x}^{-(\alpha+n)} + \lambda h_{K_y}^{-(\alpha+n)}}{-(\alpha+n)}.$$

Hence for $\alpha \leq -(n+1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{-(\alpha+n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) h_{K_{(1-\lambda)x+\lambda y}}^{-(\alpha+n)}(u) d\sigma(u) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{-(\alpha+n)} \left[(1-\lambda) \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) h_{K_x}^{-(\alpha+n)}(u) d\sigma(u) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) h_{K_y}^{-(\alpha+n)}(u) d\sigma(u) \right] \\ & \leq (1-\lambda) \left[\frac{2}{-(\alpha+n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) h_K^{-(\alpha+n)}(u) d\sigma(u) + t \right] \\ & \quad + \lambda \left[\frac{2}{-(\alpha+n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) h_K^{-(\alpha+n)}(u) d\sigma(u) + t \right] \\ & = \frac{2}{-(\alpha+n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) h_K^{-(\alpha+n)}(u) d\sigma(u) + t. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. If $\alpha > -(n+1)$, then $K_f[t]$ need not be convex. An example is the cube in \mathbb{R}^2 and the f given in Remark 1 (ii).

Now we give conditions that guarantee that $K_f[t]$ is bounded.

Lemma 2.5. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n such that 0 is the center of gravity of K . Let $f : K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly positive, integrable function. Then*

(i) $K_f[0] = K$.

(ii) There exists t_0 such that for all $t \leq t_0$, $K_f[t]$ is bounded.

(iii) Let $t \leq t_0$, where t_0 is as in (ii). Then we have for all $x \in \partial K_f[t]$ that $w_f(x) = t$.

Proof.

(i) We only have to show that $K_f[0] \subset K$. Let $x \in K_f[0]$. Then $w_f(x) = \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \setminus K_x^\circ} f(\xi) d\xi = 0$. As $f > 0$ on K° , this can only happen if $m(K^\circ \setminus K_x^\circ) = 0$. As $K_x^\circ \subset K^\circ$ is closed and convex, this can only happen if $K_x^\circ = K^\circ$, or, equivalently, $K_x = K$, or $x \in K$.

(ii) This follows immediately from (i), Lemma 2.3 (ii) and the fact that, as K is a convex body, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$B_2^n(0, \alpha) \subset K \subset B_2^n\left(0, \frac{1}{\alpha}\right). \quad (11)$$

As $K = K_f[0] = \bigcap_{t>0} K_f[t]$, there exists t_0 such that for all $t \leq t_0$, $K_f[t] \subset 2K \subset B_2^n\left(0, \frac{2}{\alpha}\right)$.

(iii) Let $t \leq t_0$ and let $x \in \partial K_f[t]$. Suppose $w_f(x) < t$. Let $y \in \{ax : a \geq 1\}$. Then $K_x = [x, K] \subset K_y = [y, K]$, hence $K_y^\circ \subset K_x^\circ$ and therefore $\int_{K^\circ \setminus K_y^\circ} f(\xi) d\xi \geq \int_{K^\circ \setminus K_x^\circ} f(\xi) d\xi$. As $f > 0$ on K° , we can choose $y = ax$ with $a > 1$ such that $\frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \setminus K_y^\circ} f(\xi) d\xi = t$. This implies that $x \notin \partial K_f[t]$, a contradiction.

3 Relative entropies of cone measures and affine surface areas

In this section we present new geometric interpretations of important affine invariants, namely the L_p -affine surface areas. Many such geometric interpretations have been given (see e.g. [28, 35, 36, 40, 41, 42]). The remarkable fact here is that these geometric interpretations of affine invariants for *convex* bodies are expressed in terms of *not necessarily convex* bodies, a phenomenon which already occurred in [42].

We also give new geometric interpretations for the relative entropies of cone measures of convex bodies. Geometric interpretations for those quantities were given first in [30] in terms of L_p -centroid bodies: For a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n of volume 1 and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the L_p -centroid body $Z_p(K)$ is this convex body that has support function

$$h_{Z_p(K)}(\theta) = \left(\int_K |\langle x, \theta \rangle|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

However, in the context of the L_p -centroid bodies, the relative entropies appeared only after performing a second order expansion of certain expressions. Now, using the mean width bodies, already a first order expansion

makes them appear. Thus, these bodies detect “faster” more detail of the boundary of a convex body than the L_p -centroid bodies.

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n that is in C_+^2 and such that 0 is the center of gravity of K . Let $f : K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $f(y) \geq c$ for all $y \in K^\circ$ and some constant $c > 0$. Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K_f[t]| - |K|}{k_n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} = \int_{\partial K} \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^2 d\mu_K(x)}{f(y(x)) \kappa_K(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}.$$

$$k_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n(n+1)|B_2^n|}{2|B_2^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \text{ and } y(x) \in \partial K^\circ \text{ is such that } \langle y(x), x \rangle = 1.$$

Remark.

We put $N_K(x) = u$. Then $\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle = h_K(u)$ and $y(x) = \frac{u}{h_K(u)}$. As $d\mu_K = f_K d\omega$, we therefore also have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K_f[t]| - |K|}{k_n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} = \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{h_K(u)^2 d\omega(u)}{f_K(u)^{\frac{n+2}{n+1}} f\left(\frac{u}{h_K(u)}\right)}. \quad (12)$$

Theorem 3.1 leads to the announced new geometric interpretations of the above mentioned quantities which we introduce now.

L_p -affine surface area, an extension of affine surface area, was introduced by Lutwak in the ground breaking paper [20] for $p > 1$ and for general p by Schütt and Werner [36]. For real $p \neq -n$, we define the L_p -affine surface area $as_p(K)$ of K as in [20] ($p > 1$) and [36] ($p < 1, p \neq -n$) by

$$as_p(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{\kappa_K(x)^{\frac{p}{n+p}}}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{\frac{n(p-1)}{n+p}}} d\mu_K(x) \quad (13)$$

and

$$as_{\pm\infty}(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{\kappa_K(x)}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^n} d\mu_K(x), \quad (14)$$

provided the above integrals exist. In particular, for $p = 0$

$$as_0(K) = \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle d\mu_K(x) = n|K|.$$

The case $p = 1$ is the classical affine surface area which is independent of the position of K in space and which goes back to Blaschke.

$$as_1(K) = \int_{\partial K} \kappa_K(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} d\mu_K(x).$$

Originally a basic affine invariant from the field of affine differential geometry, it has recently attracted increased attention too (e.g. [17, 20, 27, 34, 39]).

Then we have

Corollary 3.2. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n that is in C_+^2 and such that 0 is the center of gravity of K .*

(i) *For $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$, let $p_{as} : \partial K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by*

$$p_{as}(y) = \left(\frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{\kappa_K(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} \right)^{\frac{n+p(n+2)}{n+p}},$$

where, for $y \in \partial K^\circ$, $x = x(y) \in \partial K$ is such that $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$ Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K_{p_{as}}[t]| - |K|}{k_n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} = \int_{\partial K} \frac{\kappa_K(x)^{\frac{p}{n+p}} d\mu_K(x)}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{\frac{n(p-1)}{n+p}}} = as_p(K).$$

(ii) *For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f_\beta : K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by*

$$f_\beta(y) = \frac{1}{\|y\|^\beta} = \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^\beta,$$

where, again, for $y \in \partial K^\circ$, $x = x(y) \in \partial K$ is such that $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$ Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K_{f_\beta}[t]| - |K|}{k_n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} = \int_{\partial K} \frac{d\mu_K(x)}{\kappa_K(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{\beta-2}}$$

Proof. As ∂K is in C_+^2 , the functions p_{as} and f_β satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1. The proof of the corollary then follows immediately from Theorem 3.1.

Remarks

- (i) For $\beta = 0$, we get in Corollary 3.2 (ii) the $as_{-\frac{n}{n+2}}$ -affine surface area of K .
- (ii) As $\kappa_K(rx) = r^{-(n-1)}\kappa_K(x)$, it makes most sense to put $f_K(ru) = f_{rK}(u) = r^{n-1}f_K(u)$ and define $n-1$ to be the degree of homogeneity of the function f_K . Then p_{as} is homogeneous of degree $\frac{2n(n+p(n+2))}{(n+1)(n+p)}$ and f_β is homogeneous of degree β . Thus, by Lemma 2.4, $K_{p_{as}}[t]$ is convex if $-n < p \leq -n\frac{(n+1)^2+1}{(n+1)^2+n+2}$ and $K_{f_\beta}[t]$ is convex if $\beta \leq -(n+1)$.

Let K a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n that is C_+^2 . Let

$$p_K(x) = \frac{\kappa_K(x)}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^n n |K^\circ|}, \quad q_K(x) = \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{n |K|}. \quad (15)$$

Then

$$P_K = p_K \mu_K \quad \text{and} \quad Q_K = q_K \mu_K \quad (16)$$

are probability measures on ∂K that are absolutely continuous with respect to μ_K .

Recall now that the normalized cone measure cm_K on ∂K is defined as follows: For every measurable set $A \subseteq \partial K$

$$cm_K(A) = \frac{1}{|K|} |\{ta : a \in A, t \in [0, 1]\}|. \quad (17)$$

The next proposition is well known. See e.g. [30] for a proof. It shows that the measures P_K and Q_K defined in (16) are the cone measures of K° and K . $N_K : \partial K \rightarrow S^{n-1}$, $x \rightarrow N_K(x)$ is the Gauss map.

Proposition 3.3. *Let K a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n that is C_+^2 . Let P_K and Q_K be the probability measures on ∂K defined by (16). Then*

$$P_K = N_K^{-1} N_{K^\circ} cm_{K^\circ} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_K = cm_K,$$

or, equivalently, for every measurable subset A in ∂K

$$P_K(A) = cm_{K^\circ} \left(N_{K^\circ}^{-1} (N_K(A)) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_K(A) = cm_K(A).$$

In the next two corollaries we also use the following notations. For a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n and $x \in \partial K$, let $r_i(x)$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ be the principal radii of curvature. We put

$$r = \inf_{x \in \partial K} \min_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} r_i(x) \quad \text{and} \quad R = \sup_{x \in \partial K} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} r_i(x). \quad (18)$$

Note that if K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n that is in C_+^2 , then $0 < r \leq R < \infty$. Note also that $r = R$ iff K is a Euclidean ball with radius r .

Corollary 3.4. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n that is in C_+^2 and such that 0 is the center of gravity of K . Let r, R be as in (18).*

(i) *Let $ent_1 : \partial K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by*

$$ent_1(y) = \frac{\kappa_K(x)^{-\frac{n+2}{n+1}} \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}}{\log \left(\frac{R^{2n}|K| \kappa_K(x)}{r^{2n}|K^\circ| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \right)},$$

where, again, for $y \in \partial K^\circ$, $x = x(y) \in \partial K$ is such that $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K_{ent_1}[t]| - |K|}{k_n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} &= \int_{\partial K} \frac{\kappa_K(x)}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^n} \log \frac{R^{2n}|K| \kappa_K(x)}{r^{2n}|K^\circ| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}} d\mu_K(x) \\ &= n|K^\circ| \left[D_{KL}(P_K \| Q_K) + 2n \log \left(\frac{R}{r} \right) \right] \\ &= n|K^\circ| \left[D_{KL}(N_K N_{K^\circ}^{-1} c m_{K^\circ} \| c m_K) + 2n \log \left(\frac{R}{r} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *Let $ent_2 : \partial K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by*

$$ent_2(y) = \frac{\kappa_K(x)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}}{\log \left(\frac{R^{2n}|K| \kappa_K(x)}{r^{2n}|K^\circ| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \right)},$$

where, again, for $y \in \partial K^\circ$, $x = x(y) \in \partial K$ is such that $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K_{ent_2}[t]| - |K|}{k_n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} &= - \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle \log \frac{r^{2n}|K^\circ| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}}{R^{2n}|K| \kappa_K(x)} d\mu_K(x) \\ &= -n|K| \left[D_{KL}(Q_K \| P_K) - 2n \log \left(\frac{R}{r} \right) \right] \\ &= -n|K| \left[D_{KL}(c m_K \| N_K N_{K^\circ}^{-1} c m_{K^\circ}) - 2n \log \left(\frac{R}{r} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As ∂K is in C_+^2 , $0 < r \leq R < \infty$ and we have for all $x \in \partial K$ that

$$B_2^n(x - r N_K(x), r) \subset K \subset B_2^n(x - R N_K(x), R).$$

Suppose first that $r = R$. Then K is a Euclidean ball with radius r and the right hand sides of the identities in the corollary are equal to 0. Moreover, in

this case, ent_1 and ent_2 are identically equal to ∞ . Therefore, for all $t \geq 0$, $K_{ent_1}[t] = K$ and $K_{ent_2}[t] = K$ and hence for all $t \geq 0$, $|K_{ent_1}[t]| - |K| = 0$ and $|K_{ent_2}[t]| - |K| = 0$. Therefore, the corollary holds trivially in this case.

Suppose now that $r < R$. Then, as

$$1 \leq \frac{R^{2n}|K| \kappa_K(x)}{r^{2n}|K^\circ| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \leq \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{4n}.$$

we get for all $x \in \partial K$ that

$$f_{PQ}(x) \geq \left(\frac{|K^\circ| r^{n-1}}{2 \log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} > 0.$$

Thus the functions ent_1 and ent_2 satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1. The proof of the corollary then follows immediately from Theorem 3.1.

In [30], the following new affine invariant Ω_K was introduced and its relation to the relative entropies was established.

Let K a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n with centroid at the origin.

$$\Omega_K = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{as_p(K)}{n|K^\circ|} \right)^{n+p}.$$

Let p_K and q_K be the densities defined in (15). It was proved in [30] that for a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n that is C_+^2 .

$$D_{KL}(P_K \| Q_K) = \log \left(\frac{|K|}{|K^\circ|} \Omega_K^{-\frac{1}{n}} \right) \quad (19)$$

and

$$D_{KL}(Q_K \| P_K) = \log \left(\frac{|K^\circ|}{|K|} \Omega_{K^\circ}^{-\frac{1}{n}} \right). \quad (20)$$

In [30], geometric interpretations in terms of L_p -centroid bodies were given in the case of symmetric convex bodies for the new affine invariants Ω_K . These interpretations are in the spirit of Corollary 3.2: As $p \rightarrow \infty$, the quantities Ω_K and the related relative entropies appear in appropriately chosen volume differences of K and its L_p -centroid bodies. However, in the context of the L_p -centroid bodies, a second order expansion was needed for the volume differences in order to make these terms appear. Now, it

follows from Corollary 3.4 (i) and (ii) and Corollary 3.5 that no symmetry assumptions are needed and that already a first order expansion gives such geometric interpretations, if one uses the mean width bodies instead of the L_p -centroid body.

Corollary 3.5. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n that is in C_+^2 and such that 0 is the center of gravity of K . Let the functions ent_1 and ent_2 be as in Corollary 3.2. Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K_{ent_1}[t]| - |K|}{k_n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} - 2n^2 |K^\circ| \log \left(\frac{R}{r} \right) = n |K^\circ| \log \left(\frac{|K|}{|K^\circ|} \Omega_{K^\circ}^{-\frac{1}{n}} \right).$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K_{ent_2}[t]| - |K|}{k_n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} - 2n^2 |K| \log \left(\frac{R}{r} \right) = n |K| \log \left(\frac{|K|}{|K^\circ|} \Omega_{K^\circ}^{\frac{1}{n}} \right).$$

4 Proof of Theorem 3.1

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need the following lemmas. The first one, Lemma 4.1, is well known.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}_n(x_0, a)$ be an ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^n centered at x_0 and with axes parallel to the coordinate axes and of lengths a_1, \dots, a_n . Let $0 < \Delta < a_n$. Let*

$$C(\mathcal{E}_n, \Delta) = \mathcal{E}_n \cap H(x_0 + (a_n - \Delta)e_n, e_n)$$

be a cap of $\mathcal{E}_n(x_0, a)$ of height Δ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{2a_n}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} |B_2^{n-1}|}{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{a_n}} \Delta^{\frac{n+1}{2}} &\leq |C(\mathcal{E}_n, \Delta)| \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} |B_2^{n-1}|}{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{a_n}} \Delta^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

In the next few lemmas and throughout the remainder of the paper we will use the following notation.

Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $f : K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function and for $t \geq 0$, let $K_f[t]$ be a mean width body of K . For $x \in \partial K$, let

$$x_t = \{\gamma x : \gamma \geq 0\} \cap \partial K_f[t]. \quad (21)$$

Let $y(x) \in \partial K^\circ$ be such that $\langle y(x), x \rangle = 1$. Let m be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n and let m_f be the measure (on K°) defined by $m_f = \frac{2f}{\omega(S^{n-1})} m$, i.e. for all $A \subset K^\circ$

$$m_f(A) = \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_A f(\xi) d\xi.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n that is in C_+^2 and such that 0 is the center of gravity of K . Let $f : K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function such that $f(y) \geq c$ for all $y \in K^\circ$ and some constant $c > 0$. Let x_t be as in (21). Then the functions*

$$\frac{1}{t^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} - 1 \right)$$

are uniformly (in t) bounded by an integrable function.

Proof. We can assume that $t \leq t_0$ where t_0 is given by Lemma 2.5. Then $K_f[t]$ is bounded and hence

$$K_f[t] \subset B_2^n(0, a) \quad (22)$$

for some $a > 0$. As $f \geq c$ on K° , we get with (10)

$$\begin{aligned} t &\geq \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \cap H\left(\frac{x_t}{\|x_t\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)} f(\xi) d\xi \\ &\geq \frac{2c}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \left| K^\circ \cap H\left(\frac{x_t}{\|x_t\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

As K is in C_+^2 , K° is in C_+^2 . Thus, by the Blaschke rolling theorem (see [32]), there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for all $y \in \partial K^\circ$, $B_2^n(y - r_0 N_{K^\circ}(y), r_0) \subset K^\circ$. Let now $y(x) \in \partial K^\circ$ be such that $\langle x, y(x) \rangle = 1$. Then $N_{K^\circ}(y(x)) = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} t &\geq \frac{2c}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \left| B_2^n\left(y(x) - r_0 \frac{x}{\|x\|}, r_0\right) \cap H\left(\frac{x_t}{\|x_t\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right| \\ &\geq \frac{2^{\frac{n+3}{2}} c r_0^{\frac{n-1}{2}} |B_2^{n-1}|}{(n+1) \omega(S^{n-1})} \left(\frac{1}{\|x\|} - \frac{1}{\|x_t\|} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\left| B_2^n \left(y(x) - r_0 \frac{x}{\|x\|}, r_0 \right) \cap H^- \left(\frac{x_t}{\|x_t\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right) \right|$ is the volume of a cap of height $\frac{1}{\|x\|} - \frac{1}{\|x_t\|} = \frac{\|x_t - x\|}{\|x_t\| \|x\|}$ of the ball $B_2^n \left(y(x) - r_0 \frac{x}{\|x\|}, r_0 \right)$ which we have estimated from below using Lemma 4.1. We assume also that t is so small that $\frac{1}{\|x\|} - \frac{1}{\|x_t\|} < r_0$.

As x and x_t are colinear, $\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} - 1 = \frac{\|x_t - x\|}{\|x\|}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} - 1 \right) &= \frac{1}{t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \frac{\|x_t - x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \left(\frac{(n+1) \omega(S^{n-1})}{c |B_2^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \frac{r_0^{-\frac{n-1}{n+1}}}{2^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}}} \|x_t\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(n+1) \omega(S^{n-1})}{c |B_2^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \frac{r_0^{-\frac{n-1}{n+1}}}{2^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}}} a. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

In the last inequality we have used (22). The expression (23) is a constant and thus integrable.

Lemma 4.3. *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n that is in C_+^2 and such that 0 is the center of gravity of K . Let $f : K^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous, positive function. Then for all $x \in \partial K$ one has*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{n k_n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \left[\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] = \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^2}{\kappa_K(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} f(y(x))^{\frac{2}{n+1}}},$$

where $k_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n(n+1)|B_2^n|}{2|B_2^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}$ and $y(x) \in \partial K^\circ$ is such that $\langle x, y(x) \rangle = 1$.

Proof. Let $x \in \partial K$. Let x_t be as in (21). As x and x_t are collinear and as $(1+s)^n \geq 1+ns$ for $s \in [0, 1)$, one has for small enough t ,

$$\frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{n} \left[\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] = \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{n} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\|x_t - x\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] \geq \Delta(x, t),$$

where $\Delta(x, t) = \left\langle \frac{x}{\|x\|}, N_K(x) \right\rangle \|x_t - x\| = \langle x_t - x, N_K(x) \rangle$.

Similarly, as $(1+s)^n \leq 1+ns+2^n s^2$ for $s \in [0, 1)$, one has for t small enough,

$$\frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{n} \left[\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] \leq \Delta(x, t) \left[1 + \frac{2^n}{n} \left(\frac{\|x_t - x\|}{\|x\|} \right) \right]. \quad (24)$$

Hence for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $t_\varepsilon \leq t_0$, t_0 from Lemma 2.5, such that for all $0 < t \leq t_\varepsilon$

$$1 \leq \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle \left[\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right]}{n \Delta(x, t)} \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 2.5 (iii), $m_f(K^\circ \setminus K_{x_t}^\circ) = t$ and thus

$$1 \leq \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle \left[\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] (m_f(K^\circ \setminus K_{x_t}^\circ))^{\frac{2}{n+1}}}{n \Delta(x, t) t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Let now $y = y(x) \in \partial K^\circ$ be such that $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$. Thus $y = \frac{N_K(x)}{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}$ and $N_{K^\circ}(y) = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$. As f is continuous on K° , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $z \in B_2^n(y, \delta)$,

$$f(y) - \varepsilon < f(z) < f(y) + \varepsilon.$$

We choose t so small that $K^\circ \setminus K_{x_t}^\circ \subset B_2^n(y, \delta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2(f(y(x)) - \varepsilon)}{\omega(S^{n-1})} |K^\circ \setminus K_{x_t}^\circ| &\leq \\ m_f(K^\circ \setminus K_{x_t}^\circ) &= \frac{2}{\omega(S^{n-1})} \int_{K^\circ \setminus K_{x_t}^\circ} f d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{2(f(y(x)) + \varepsilon)}{\omega(S^{n-1})} |K^\circ \setminus K_{x_t}^\circ| \end{aligned}$$

and we get with (new) absolute constants c_1 and c_2 that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - c_1 \varepsilon &\leq \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle \left[\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] \left(\frac{2f(y(x))}{\omega(S^{n-1})} |K^\circ \setminus K_{x_t}^\circ| \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}}{n \Delta(x, t) t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \\ &\leq 1 + c_2 \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

As K and hence K° is in C_+^2 , $\kappa_{K^\circ}(y) > 0$. It is well known (see [35]) that then there exists an ellipsoid $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(y - a_n N_{K^\circ}(y), a)$ centered at $y - a_n N_{K^\circ}(y)$ and with half axes of lengths $a_1 \dots a_n$ which approximates ∂K° in a neighborhood of y . For the computations that follow, we can assume without loss of generality that $N_{K^\circ}(y) = e_n$ and that the other axes of \mathcal{E} coincide with $e_1 \dots, e_{n-1}$. Thus (see [35]), for $\varepsilon > 0$ given, there exists Δ_ε such that for all $\Delta \leq \Delta_\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(y - (1 - \varepsilon)a_n N_{K^\circ}(y), (1 - \varepsilon)a) &\cap H_\Delta^- \\ &\subseteq K^\circ \cap H_\Delta^- \subseteq \\ &\mathcal{E}(y - (1 + \varepsilon)a_n N_{K^\circ}(y), (1 + \varepsilon)a) \cap H_\Delta^-, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $H_\Delta = H(y - \Delta e_n, e_n)$. Also (see [35]),

$$\kappa_{K^\circ}(y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_n}{a_i^2}. \quad (27)$$

As $x_t \rightarrow x$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, we can choose t so small that $K^\circ \setminus K_{x_t}^\circ = K^\circ \cap H^-\left(\frac{x_t}{\|x_t\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)$ is contained in $H^-(y - \Delta e_n, e_n)$. Hence, by (26),

$$\left| \mathcal{E}(y - (1 - \varepsilon)a_n N_{K^\circ}(y), (1 - \varepsilon)a) \cap H^-\left(\frac{x_t}{\|x_t\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right| \leq |K^\circ \setminus K_{x_t}^\circ| \leq \left| \mathcal{E}(y - (1 + \varepsilon)a_n N_{K^\circ}(y), (1 + \varepsilon)a) \cap H^-\left(\frac{x_t}{\|x_t\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right|.$$

By Lemma 4.1, with (27), and as $\frac{1}{\|x\|} - \frac{1}{\|x_t\|} = \frac{\Delta(x, t)}{\|x_t\| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}$, we get with new absolute constants c_1 and c_2

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - c_1\varepsilon) \frac{2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} |B_2^{n-1}|}{(n+1) (\kappa_{K^\circ}(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{\Delta(x, t)}{\|x_t\| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} &\leq |K^\circ \setminus K_{x_t}^\circ| \leq \\ &(1 + c_2\varepsilon) \frac{2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} |B_2^{n-1}|}{(n+1) (\kappa_{K^\circ}(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{1}{\|x\|} - \frac{1}{\|x_t\|} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \\ &= (1 + c_2\varepsilon) \frac{2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} |B_2^{n-1}|}{(n+1) (\kappa_{K^\circ}(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{\Delta(x, t)}{\|x_t\| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, again with new absolute constants c_1 and c_2 , (25) becomes

$$1 - c_1\varepsilon \leq \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle \left[\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] 2 \left(\frac{2f(y)|B_2^{n-1}|}{(n+1)\omega(S^{n-1})} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}}{n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}} (\kappa_{K^\circ}(y))^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \|x_t\| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle} \leq 1 + c_2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, as $\|x_t\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \left[\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] &= \\ &\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n(n+1)|B_2^n|}{2|B_2^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \frac{\kappa_{K^\circ}(y)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \|x\| \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{f(y)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \end{aligned}$$

Now we use that $\|x\| = \frac{1}{\langle y, N_{K^\circ}(y) \rangle}$ and that (see e.g. [42])

$$\frac{\kappa_{K^\circ}(y)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}{\langle y, N_{K^\circ}(y) \rangle} = \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{\kappa_K(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}$$

We put $k_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n(n+1)|B_2^n|}{2|B_2^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}$ and get that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \left[\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] = k_n \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^2}{\kappa_K(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} f(y)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1

It is well known (see e.g. [42]), that for a convex body K and a star-convex body L with $0 \in \text{int}(K)$ and $K \subset L$

$$|L| - |K| = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle \left[\left(\frac{\|x'\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] d\mu_K(x)$$

where $x \in \partial K$, $x' \in \partial L$ and $x = \partial K \cap [0, x']$.

Therefore,

$$|K_f[t]| - |K| = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle \left(\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right) d\mu_K(x)$$

We now use Lemma 4.2 and Lebesgue's theorem to interchange integration and limit and then Lemma 4.3 and get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|K_f[t]| - |K|}{t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} &= \frac{1}{n} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N_K(x) \rangle \left[\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] d\mu_K(x) \\ &= \int_{\partial K} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle}{n t^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} \left[\left(\frac{\|x_t\|}{\|x\|} \right)^n - 1 \right] d\mu_K(x) \\ &= k_n \int_{\partial K} \frac{\langle x, N_K(x) \rangle^2}{\kappa_K(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} f(y)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} d\mu_K(x). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

References

- [1] K. BÖRÖCZKY JR., R. SCHNEIDER, *The mean width of circumscribed random polytopes*, Canadian Math. Bull. **53** (2010), 614-628.
- [2] T. COVER AND J. THOMAS, *Elements of information theory*, second ed., Wiley-Interscience, (John Wiley and Sons), Hoboken, NJ, (2006).
- [3] A. DEMBO, T. COVER, AND J. THOMAS *Information theoretic inequalities*, IEEETrans. Inform. Theory **37** (1991), 1501-1518.
- [4] R. J. GARDNER, *A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in three dimensions*, Ann. of Math. **140** no.2 (1994), 435-47.
- [5] R. J. GARDNER, *The dual Brunn-Minkowski theory for bounded Borel sets: Dual affine quermassintegrals and inequalities*, Adv. Math. **216** (2007), 358-386.
- [6] R. J. GARDNER, A. KOLDOBSKY, AND T. SCHLUMPRECHT, *An analytical solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies*, Ann. of Math. **149** no.2 (1999), 691-703.
- [7] R. J. GARDNER AND G. ZHANG, *Affine inequalities and radial mean bodies*. Amer. J. Math. **120** no.3 (1998), 505-528.
- [8] E. GRINBERG AND G. ZHANG, *Convolutions, transforms, and convex bodies*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **78** no.3 (1999), 77-115.
- [9] S. GLASAUER AND P. M. GRUBER, *Asymptotic estimates for best and stepwise approximation of convex bodies III*, Forum Math. **9** (1997), 383-404.
- [10] C. HABERL, *Blaschke valuations*, Amer. J. of Math., in press
- [11] C. HABERL AND F. SCHUSTER, *General L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities*. J. Differential Geometry **83** (2009), 1-26.
- [12] C. HABERL, E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *The even Orlicz Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), 2485-2510
- [13] D. KLAIN, *Star valuations and dual mixed volumes*, Adv. Math. **121** (1996), 80-101.
- [14] D. KLAIN, *Invariant valuations on star-shaped sets*, Adv. Math. **125** (1997), 95-113.

- [15] M. LUDWIG, *Ellipsoids and matrix valued valuations*, Duke Math. J. **119** (2003), 159-188.
- [16] M. LUDWIG, *Minkowski areas and valuations*, J. Differential Geometry, **86** (2010), 133-162.
- [17] M. LUDWIG AND M. REITZNER, *A Characterization of Affine Surface Area*, Adv. Math. **147** (1999), 138-172.
- [18] M. LUDWIG AND M. REITZNER, *A classification of $SL(n)$ invariant valuations*. Ann. of Math. **172** (2010), 1223-1271.
- [19] E. LUTWAK, *Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Adv. Math. **71** (1988), 232-261.
- [20] E. LUTWAK, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory II : Affine and geominimal surface areas*, Adv. Math. **118** (1996), 244-294.
- [21] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *A new ellipsoid associated with convex bodies*, Duke Math. J. **104** (2000), 375-390.
- [22] E. LUTWAK AND G. ZHANG, *Blaschke-Santaló inequalities*, J. Differential Geom. **47** (1997), 1-16.
- [23] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *Sharp Affine L_p Sobolev inequalities*, J. Differential Geometry **62** (2002), 17-38.
- [24] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *The Cramer-Rao inequality for star bodies*, Duke Math. J. **112** (2002), 59-81.
- [25] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *Volume inequalities for subspaces of L_p* , J. Differential Geometry **68** (2004), 159-184.
- [26] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *Moment-entropy inequalities*, Ann. Probab. **32** (2004), 757-774.
- [27] M. MEYER AND E. WERNER, *The Santaló-regions of a convex body*. Transactions of the AMS **350** no.11, (1998) 4569-4591.
- [28] M. MEYER AND E. WERNER, *On the p -affine surface area*. Adv. Math. **152** (2000), 288-313.
- [29] F. NAZAROV, F. PETROV, D. RYABOGIN AND A. ZVAVITCH, *A remark on the Mahler conjecture: local minimality of the unit cube*, Duke Math. J. **154**, (2010), 419-430.

- [30] G. PAOURIS AND E. WERNER, *Relative entropy of cone measures and L_p centroid bodies*, preprint
- [31] B. RUBIN AND G. ZHANG, *Generalizations of the Busemann-Petty problem for sections of convex bodies*, J. Funct. Anal. **213** (2004), 473-501.
- [32] R. SCHNEIDER, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory*. Cambridge University Press, (1993).
- [33] F. SCHUSTER, *Crofton measures and Minkowski valuations*, Duke Math. J. **154**, (2010), 1-30.
- [34] C. SCHÜTT AND E. WERNER, *The convex floating body*. Math. Scand. **66** (1990), 275-290.
- [35] C. SCHÜTT AND E. WERNER, *Random polytopes of points chosen from the boundary of a convex body*. GAFA Seminar Notes, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag **1807** (2002), 241-422, .
- [36] C. SCHÜTT AND E. WERNER, *Surface bodies and p -affine surface area*. Adv. Math. **187** (2004), 98-145.
- [37] A. STANCU, *The Discrete Planar L_0 -Minkowski Problem*. Adv. Math. **167** (2002), 160-174.
- [38] A. STANCU, *On the number of solutions to the discrete two-dimensional L_0 -Minkowski problem*. Adv. Math. **180** (2003), 290-323.
- [39] E. WERNER, *Illumination bodies and affine surface area*, Studia Math. **110** (1994), 257-269.
- [40] E. WERNER, *On L_p -affine surface areas*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** no. 5 (2007), 2305-2324.
- [41] E. WERNER AND D. YE, *New L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities*, Adv. Math. **218** no.3 (2008), 762-780.
- [42] E. WERNER AND D. YE, *Inequalities for mixed p -affine surface area*, Math. Ann. **347** (2010), 703-737
- [43] G. ZHANG, *Intersection bodies and Busemann-Petty inequalities in \mathbb{R}^4* , Ann. of Math. **140** (1994), 331-346.

- [44] G. ZHANG, *A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in four dimensions*, Ann. of Math. **149** (1999), 535-543.
- [45] G. ZHANG, *New Affine Isoperimetric Inequalities*, ICCM 2007, Vol. II, 239-267.

Elisabeth Werner

Department of Mathematics
Case Western Reserve University
Cleveland, Ohio 44106, U. S. A.
`elisabeth.werner@case.edu`

Université de Lille 1
UFR de Mathématique
59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France

Justin Jenkinson

Department of Mathematics
Case Western Reserve University
Cleveland, Ohio 44106, U. S. A.
`jdj13@case.edu`